
Annual Performance Report

Project/Programme title: Strengthening the resilience of smallholder agriculture to climate change-induced water insecurity in the Central Highlands and South-Central Coast regions of Vietnam

Accredited Entity: UNDP

Date of Report submission: [2026/02/27]

Version number [V.1]



Contents

Section A	<u>PROJECT DATA</u>
Section B	<u>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY</u>
Section C	<u>RESULTS AND IMPACTS</u>
Section D	<u>RISKS ASSESSMENT AND MANAGEMENT</u>
Section E	<u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>
Section F	<u>ENVIRONMENTAL SOCIAL SAFEGUARDS & GENDER & INDIGENOUS PEOPLE</u>
Section G	<u>ANNEXES</u>

RATIONALE

This report layout is designed to support the drafting of a structured report that assesses, evaluates, documents, and communicates the progress on Funding Proposal (FP) implementation and on its associated Funded Activity Agreement (FAA). The layout aims to provide a clear and comprehensive understanding of key objectives, progress, and risks, drawing on project implementation related data provided by Accredited Entities (AEs).

Considering the Green Climate Fund's (GCF) evolving strategic direction toward a Risk-Based Approach, the report is intended to:

- Track quantitative and qualitative progress of the project implementation.
- Highlight critical findings and emerging trends.
- Identify risks and propose actionable mitigation strategies.
- Support evidence-based decision-making.
- Promote transparency and accountability.
- Align with strategic and regulatory frameworks.
- Serve as a foundation for AE engagement on project performance

METHODOLOGY

The report's layout mirrors, to the extent possible, the structure of the Funding Proposal (FP) to maintain coherence with the presented information. However, the FP and the Report differ in scope and strategic intent; thus, while the FP serves a functional role in informing the Report, it does not dictate a strictly parallel structure.

A. PROJECT DATA (Prefilled where possible)				
Should any information/data prefilled from Section A.1 to Section A.12 be incorrect or require updating, please inform GCF's Project Lead of appropriate corrective action.				
A.1. Date of report submission		4/15/2026		
A.2. Project or programme	Project	A.3. Sector	Public	
A.4. Total Financing (GCF + Cofinance)	GCF financing		million USD (\$)	
	Grant		million USD (\$) 30,205,367	
	Co-financing		million USD (\$) 108,081,319	
	Grant		million USD (\$) Enter amount18,804,571	
	Loan		million USD (\$) Enter amount84,839,273	
	Grant		million USD (\$) 3.437.475	
	In-kind		million USD (\$) Enter amount1,000,000	
	Total Financing		million USD (\$) 138,286,686	
A.5. Total GCF funding disbursed to this date	million USD (\$)25,547,472			
A.6. Implementation period	From 1/1/2025 to 12/31/2025			
A.7. ESS Category (Refers to <u>GCF revised Environmental and Social Policy</u> and <u>GCF ESS Standards</u> to assess your FP category.)			Category B	
A.8. Executing Entity Information				
Full legal name	Country of registration	Entity type	Role in project	Focal point(s) and email(s)
Ministry of Agriculture and Environment (MAE)	Viet Nam	Public sector – Government (which may be acting through a ministry or department)	Executing Entity	Mr. Nguyen Manh Ngoc – Project Director mngoccpo@yahoo.com
A.9. Co-Financier information				
Full legal name	Country of registration	Focal point(s) and email(s)	Ownership entity type	Beneficial owner(s)
Government of Viet Nam	Viet Nam	Nguyen Hoang Hiep – Vice Director of Ministry of Agriculture and Environment (MAE)	Government	N/A
Govt/ADB	Viet Nam	Pham Dinh Van – CPO Director	Government	N/A
GoV (MARD Central Govt)	Viet Nam	Nguyen Duc Mien – WEIDAP Project Director	Government	N/A

GoV (5 DARDS (now DAEs) representing the 5 Provincial Govts)	Viet Nam	Nguyen Duy Quang – Director of Khanh Hoa DAE Phan Nguyen Hoang Tan – Director of Lam Dong DAE Nguyen Minh Huan – Director of Dak Lak DAE	Government	N/A
--	----------	--	------------	-----

A.10. Alignment with country NDCs & NAP (NB Only populate if applicable, e.g., if your project/programme specifically contributes to a country's NDC or NAP target/s)

Country	Date of NDC/ NAP submission	NDC/ NAP objective	Brief description of project's alignment with NDC/ NAP objectives	Percentage of target met with proposed project. Beneficial owner(s)
---------	-----------------------------	--------------------	---	---

Not applicable. The project contributed to NDCs & NAP but does not have specific targets set out in Funding Proposal.
[Click or tap to enter a date.](#)

A.11. Estimated duration of impact

15 years (based on assumption for calculation in Annex XII(a) of Funding Proposal – Economic Analysis)

A.12 Report to be shared with NDA

No ☐ (Justification, max. 100 words)

This report will be shared with the Viet Nam NDA.

B. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Should any information/data prefilled in Section “B” be incorrect or require updating, please inform GCF’s Project Lead for appropriate corrective action.

B.1. Summary

Please provide a BRIEF summary of the overall objectives, Outcomes and Climate Impact of the project/programme. (300 words maximum)

The project “Strengthening the resilience of smallholder agriculture to climate change-induced water insecurity in the Central Highlands and South-Central Coast regions of Vietnam” (FP125) aims to enhance the adaptive capacity of vulnerable smallholder farmers—particularly women, ethnic minorities, and poor/near-poor households—to increasing rainfall variability and drought.

Vietnam’s Central Highlands and South-Central Coast regions are highly exposed to climate-induced water insecurity, with declining rainfall reliability, rising evapotranspiration, and increasing frequency of droughts. These trends are reducing agricultural productivity and threatening food and livelihood security.

The project delivers adaptation support through two interlinked outputs.

Output 1 focuses on enhanced water security for agricultural production by improving access to irrigation with a target of 3,733 last-mile connection systems to the main irrigation infrastructure developed by co-financing project WEIDAP, strengthening supplementary water storage (including 1,507 climate-resilient ponds), and promoting water-efficient technologies with 8,621 on-farm installations.

Output 2 strengthens smallholder resilience through climate-resilient agricultural practices to 21,228 households, 900 Farmer Field Schools, access to agro-climate information services, and enhanced market and credit linkages via Climate Innovation Platforms in all project sites.

The expected climate impact is increased resilience of over 200,000 direct beneficiaries to drought and water stress, improved adaptive water management across vulnerable farming systems, and strengthened institutional capacity for climate-responsive agricultural planning. By integrating water security investments with capacity building, climate information services, and value chain coordination, the project supports a paradigm shift toward climate-resilient smallholder agriculture in some of Vietnam’s most climate-vulnerable regions.

C. RESULTS AND IMPACTS

C.1. Overall Summary of the Project Progress

*(This section provides a narrative report summarizing the overall progress of the implementation status of the funded activity progress during the reporting period. **1,500 words**)*

Please provide a concise summary of progress made towards achieving the Logical Framework Indicators, focusing exclusively on achievements realized during the reporting year. Structure the summary by outcome, output, and activities, ensuring each section is clearly delineated. Limit each outcome and output summary to 100 words, and each activity summary to 80 words. For example: Outcome 1 (100 words), Output 1 (100 words), Activity 1.1 (80 words), Activity 1.2 (80 words) etc.... Please ensure that all activities are reported with explicit reference to the implementation plan for the past year.

During 2025, irrigation pipeline construction under WEIDAP reached 74.18 km cumulatively (51% of target), with limited new progress outside Ninh Thuan. Output 1 focused on accelerating pond construction, finalizing last-mile connections in Ninh Thuan, and completing water management training. A total of 686 ponds were built during the year. 8,521 farmers received training on climate-adaptive water resource management and completed 919 additional last-mile connections. These supports are proved beneficial during the dry season of 2025 and contribute to the increase in productivity of key cash crops (e.g., coffee, pepper, dragon fruits etc.). Progress in other provinces remained constrained by WEIDAP implementation delays. The output advanced primarily through decentralized, farmer-level interventions as planned for 2025.

Activity 1.1 – Establishment of large-scale irrigation infrastructure

In 2025, co-financing project WEIDAP irrigation pipeline construction reached 74.18 km cumulatively (51% of the 146.5 km target). Ninh Thuan achieved 100% of its 64.03 km target and Binh Thuan reached 10.15 km (28%).

Implementation progress remained below schedule in Dak Lak and Dak Nong, as shown in the Table below.

Number of kilometers of pipeline constructed	Khanh Hoa	Ninh Thuan	Binh Thuan	Dak Lak	Dak Nong	Entire project
Result (km)	Not applied	64.03	10.15	0	0	74.18
Final target		64.03	36.61	37.54	8.32	146.5
% vs. target		100%	28%	0%	0%	51%

Activity 1.2 – Last-mile connection systems

Overall, 2,604 (71.2% women) out of 3,733 beneficiary households were confirmed for participation (69.8% of the target) in this package. Implementation in 2025 focused on screening, design finalization and partial construction aligned with WEIDAP readiness.

In 2025, Ninh Thuan completed 919 last-mile connections, bringing cumulative completion to 1,169. Other provinces have started beneficiary screening and finalized design but had not yet commenced construction as pending to the completion of the main irrigation pipeline.

Activity 1.3 – Climate-resilient ponds and water management

During 2025, 679 climate-resilient ponds were constructed, increasing cumulative completion to 1,258 out of 1,507 ponds (83.5% of target). This activity is on track for all provinces, as follows: Khanh Hoa: 383/430 ponds; Ninh Thuan: 235/357

ponds; Binh Thuan: 174/192 ponds, Dak Nong: 214/268 ponds; and Dak Lak: 252/260 ponds. Amongst participants during the consultation process, 68.4% are ethnic minorities.

All 218 mutual/shared pond management groups had been established, with continued operational strengthening in 2025. Additionally, 8,521 farmers (55.7% women and 80.5% ethnic minorities) were trained in climate-adaptive water resource management during the year, exceeding annual targets.

Activity 1.4 – On-farm water efficiency and soil & biomass management

In 2025, Farmer Field School (FFS) training on soil and biomass management reached 8,223 farmers (64.7% women and 81.1% ethnic minorities). Four advanced TOT courses were conducted with 272 participants (54% women and 11.4% ethnic minorities). Voucher-based irrigation installation continued and reached 6,197 households.

During 2025, Output 2 on agriculture and access to market, credits and climate information advanced strongly through farmer training, market linkage, and climate information services. Climate-resilient agriculture (CRA) training exceeded annual targets, and voucher-based input support reached 128% of planned beneficiaries. Climate Innovation Platforms (CIPs) continued operations, and agro-climate advisory dissemination expanded outreach. The outcome progressed largely in line with the 2025 implementation plan, strengthening adaptive capacity and market readiness among smallholder farmers.

Activity 2.1 – Farmer Field Schools and CRA capacity building

In 2025, FFS groups were operational across project provinces. CRA training exceeded planned targets (141% for TOT; 104% for FFS). Voucher-based input support reached 128% of annual targets. Capacity building included TOT and core farmer (TOLF) training activities. Implementation followed the 2025 activity plan with strong uptake by smallholders.

Activity 2.2 – Market access, credit and CIP

During 2025, market access and financial inclusion activities progressed in line with the annual work plan. A total of 24 market access training courses reached 1,264 farmers, complemented by one provincial market linkage workshop (34 participants) and seven trade fairs across project provinces. In addition, 37 credit access training courses were delivered to 2,369 farmers (54.4% women). Climate Innovation Platform (CIP) meetings were not implemented in 2025 due to administrative restructuring; however, implementation plans and materials were prepared for rollout in 2026.

Activity 2.3 – Agro-climate information services

In 2025, agro-climate advisory bulletins were developed and disseminated regularly across project provinces and reached nearly 132,000 households. Climate information services expanded outreach to farming households, supporting seasonal decision-making and adaptive practices. Implementation followed the 2025 dissemination schedule under the approved work plan.

In July 2025, national administrative restructuring reduced the number of project provinces from five (Đắk Lắk, Đắk Nông, Ninh Thuận, Bình Thuận and Khánh Hòa) to three (Đắk Lắk, Lâm Đồng and Khánh Hòa), and consolidated project communes from 68 to 40. In response, the project organized review and consultation workshops to assess implications for implementation arrangements. It was agreed to maintain the existing PMUs to ensure continuity and safeguard institutional memory. The project has engaged the authorities of the newly consolidated 40 communes to realign implementation plans and has formally proposed a no-cost extension to ensure full achievement of committed results.

Overall, the results achieved in 2025 have generated tangible impacts on climate resilience, water security, and livelihoods among beneficiary farmers. The expansion of irrigation infrastructure, coupled with last-mile connections and climate-resilient ponds, has improved water availability and reliability at farm level, particularly during the dry season. This has enabled farmers to maintain and, in some cases, increase productivity of key cash crops such as coffee, pepper, and dragon fruit, while reducing vulnerability to drought. At the same time, strengthened farmer capacity through training, Farmer Field Schools, and climate information services has enhanced adoption of climate-adaptive practices, more efficient water use, and better-informed decision-making. Market access and credit support have further contributed to improved income opportunities and financial inclusion. Collectively, these interventions are reinforcing the adaptive capacity and long-term resilience of smallholder farming systems, while laying a foundation for more sustainable and market-oriented agricultural development in the project areas.

C.2. Performance against the Funding Proposal Logical Framework Indicators

For each of the indicators please report only the 'annual value' for the reporting year; this means reporting solely the values achieved from January 1st, 2025, to December 31st, 2025. The "cumulative value" will automatically be updated by the system by aggregating reported annual values since project FAA effectiveness.

Please ensure that targets are clearly defined and reported using the following categories:

- **Midterm Target:** The results to be achieved by the midpoint of implementation.
- **Final Target:** The results to be achieved by the conclusion of project or programme implementation.
- **Lifetime Target:** The total results expected over the entire operational period of the project or programme.

Note that the baseline, midterm, final and lifetime targets will be prefilled based on your previous Annual Performance Report and/or Funding Proposal. It is imperative that you carefully review, verify and update where relevant.

Should the project be Multicountry, please report the achievement by Country.

Lastly, **avoid using commas or periods as thousand separators. Periods should only be used as decimal separators.**

For REDD+ Results-Based Payment (RBP) projects, you are only required to report on the progress of activities.

Should any prefilled information/data in the Logical Framework be incorrect or require updating, please inform GCF's Project Lead for appropriate corrective action.

GCF Outcome Level: Standardized Indicators

Note: The indicators presented below are illustrative examples of standardized indicators only. The full and complete list of logframe indicators applicable to this project/programme will be provided separately in the Excel-based logframe file.

(IRMF) Core Indicator 1 Greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions reduced, avoided, or removed/sequestered. (PMF) M1 Tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent (t CO₂eq) reduced because of GCF-funded projects/programmes

Total GHG emission reduced, avoided, or removed/sequestered (tCO₂eq) because of GCF support, disaggregated by result area.

Report on the GHG emissions reduction achieved during this reporting year only. This should include reduced, avoided, or sequestered through project activities such as renewable energy deployment, energy efficiency improvements, or carbon sequestration initiatives. You must also disaggregate this value by country and result area.

Baseline	Target			Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual Value (Reporting Year)	Cumulative Value (Automatically calculated)
	Mid-term	Final	Lifetime			
The starting value before the project began. (Will be prefilled from previous APR)	The target value expected at the midpoint of the project. (Will be prefilled from previous APR)	The target value expected at project completion. (Will be prefilled from previous APR)	The total target value over the entire lifetime of the project (Will be prefilled from previous APR)		Provide the value achieved in the reporting year only	Total value achieved from project start to the end of this reporting year (previous + current year)
<u>Enter number</u>	<u>Enter number</u>	<u>Enter number</u>	<u>Enter number</u>	<u>Enter number</u>	<u>Enter number</u>	<u>Enter number</u>
Disaggregation by: Result Area				Cumulative Value (tCO₂eq)		
MRA 1: Energy Generation and Access				<u>Enter number</u>		
MRA 2: Low-emission Transport				<u>Enter number</u>		

MRA 3: Buildings, Cities, Industries and Appliances	<u>Enter number</u>
MRA 4: Forestry and Land Use	<u>Enter number</u>
Total	<u>Automatically calculated</u>
Disaggregation by: Country	Cumulative Value (tCO₂eq)
<i>Country A</i>	<u>Enter number</u>
<i>Country B</i>	<u>Enter number</u>
<i>Country C</i>	<u>Enter number</u>
Total	<u>Automatically calculated</u>
Checkbox Selection	
☐ Reduction of current emissions ☐ Removal and sequestration ☐ Avoidance and substitution	

(IRMF) Supplementary Indicator 1.2 Installed energy storage capacity (MWh)						
Baseline	Target			Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual Value (Reporting Year)	Cumulative Value (Automatically calculated)
	Mid-term	Final	Lifetime			
<i>The starting value before the project began.</i>	<i>The target value expected at the midpoint of the project.</i>	<i>The target value expected at project completion .</i>	<i>The total target value over the entire lifetime of the project</i>		<i>Provide a value achieved in the reporting year only</i>	<i>Total value achieved from project start to the end of this reporting year (previous + current year)</i>

(Will be prefilled from previous APR)	(Will be prefilled from previous APR)	(Will be prefilled from previous APR)	(Will be prefilled from previous APR)			
<u>Enter number</u>	<u>Enter number</u>	<u>Enter number</u>	<u>Enter number</u>	<u>Enter number</u>	<u>Enter number</u>	<u>Enter number</u>
Disaggregation by: Country				Cumulative Value (MWh)		
Country A				<u>Enter number</u>		
Country B				<u>Enter number</u>		
Country C				<u>Enter number</u>		
Total				<u>Automatically calculated</u>		
Checkbox Selection						
☐ Battery ☐ Thermal ☐ Mechanical ☐ Hydrogen ☐ Other (<u>Enter category</u>)						

(IRMF) Supplementary Indicator 1.3 Installed renewable energy capacity (MW)						
(PMF) M6.3 MWs of low-emission energy capacity installed, generated, and/or rehabilitated because of GCF support (MW)						
Baseline	Target			Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual Value (Reporting Year)	Cumulative Value (Automatically calculated)
	Mid-term	Final	Lifetime			
The starting value before the project began. (Will be prefilled from previous APR)	The target value expected at the midpoint of the project. (Will be prefilled from previous APR)	The target value expected at project completion. (Will be prefilled from previous APR)	The total target value over the entire lifetime of the project. (Will be prefilled from previous APR)		Provide a value achieved in the reporting year only	Total value achieved from project start to the end of this reporting year (previous + current year)
<u>Enter number</u>	<u>Enter number</u>	<u>Enter number</u>	<u>Enter number</u>	<u>Enter number</u>	<u>Enter number</u>	<u>Enter number</u>
Disaggregation by: Country				Cumulative Value (MW)		
Country A				<u>Enter number</u>		
Country B				<u>Enter number</u>		
Country C				<u>Enter number</u>		
Total				<u>Automatically calculated</u>		
Checkbox Selection						
☐ Direct solar ☐ Rooftop solar ☐ Utility solar (> 100 MW) ☐ Mini grid solar ☐ Bio energy ☐ Geothermal						

☐ Hydro

☐ Wind

(IRMF) Supplementary Indicator 1.4 Renewable energy generated (MWh)

Baseline	Target			Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual Value (Reporting Year)	Cumulative Value (Automatically calculated)
	Mid-term	Final	Lifetime			
The starting value before the project began. (Will be prefilled from previous APR)	The target value expected at the midpoint of the project. (Will be prefilled from previous APR)	The target value expected at project completion. (Will be prefilled from previous APR)	The total target value over the entire lifetime of the project (Will be prefilled from previous APR)		Provide a value achieved in the reporting year only	Total value achieved from project start to the end of this reporting year (previous + current year)
<u>Enter number</u>	<u>Enter number</u>	<u>Enter number</u>	<u>Enter number</u>	<u>Enter number</u>	<u>Enter number</u>	<u>Enter number</u>

(PMF) M6.2 Number of households with improved access to low-emission energy sources

N.B., We encourage you to report number of beneficiaries.

**Unit: # of households
of beneficiaries**

Baseline	Target			Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual Value (Reporting Year)	Cumulative Value (Automatically calculated)
	Mid-term	Final	Lifetime			
The starting value before the project began. (Will be prefilled from previous APR)	The target value expected at the midpoint of the project. (Will be prefilled from previous APR)	The target value expected at project completion. (Will be prefilled from previous APR)	The total target value over the entire lifetime of the project (Will be prefilled from previous APR)		Provide a value achieved in the reporting year only	Total value achieved from project start to the end of this reporting year (previous + current year)
<u>Enter number</u>	<u>Enter number</u>	<u>Enter number</u>	<u>Enter number</u>	<u>Enter number</u>	<u>Enter number</u>	<u>Enter number</u>

(IRMF) Supplementary Indicator 1.5 Improved low-emission vehicle fuel economy

(PMF) M8.2 Vehicle fuel economy and energy because of Fund support

Unit				<u>Enter unit</u>		
Baseline	Target			Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual Value (Reporting Year)	Cumulative Value (Automatically calculated)
	Mid-term	Final	Lifetime			
The starting value before the project began. (Will be prefilled)	The target value expected at the midpoint of the project. (Will be prefilled)	The target value expected at project completion. (Will be prefilled)	The total target value over the entire lifetime of the project (Will be prefilled)		Provide a value achieved in the reporting year only	Total value achieved from project start to the end of this reporting year (previous + current year)

<i>from previous APR)</i>	<i>from previous APR)</i>	<i>from previous APR)</i>	<i>from previous APR)</i>			
<u>Enter number</u>	<u>Enter number</u>	<u>Enter number</u>	<u>Enter number</u>	<u>Enter number</u>	<u>Enter number</u>	<u>Enter number</u>
Disaggregation by: Country				Cumulative Value		
Country A				<u>Enter number</u>		
Country B				<u>Enter number</u>		
Country C				<u>Enter number</u>		
Total				<u>Automatically calculated</u>		
Checkbox Selection						
☐ Fuel switch ☐ Vehicle replacement ☐ Modal shift						
(IRMF) Supplementary Indicator 1.1 Annual energy savings (MWh) (PMF) M7.1 Energy intensity/improved efficiency of buildings, cities, industries, and appliances because of Fund support						
Baseline	Target			Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual Value (Reporting Year)	Cumulative Value (Automatically calculated)
	Mid-term	Final	Lifetime			
<i>The starting value before the project began. (Will be prefilled from previous APR)</i>	<i>The target value expected at the midpoint of the project. (Will be prefilled from previous APR)</i>	<i>The target value expected at project completion. (Will be prefilled from previous APR)</i>	<i>The total target value over the entire lifetime of the project (Will be prefilled from previous APR)</i>		<i>Provide a value achieved in the reporting year only</i>	<i>Total value achieved from project start to the end of this reporting year (previous + current year)</i>
<u>Enter number</u>	<u>Enter number</u>	<u>Enter number</u>	<u>Enter number</u>	<u>Enter number</u>	<u>Enter number</u>	<u>Enter number</u>
Disaggregation by: Country				Cumulative Value (MWh)		
Country A				<u>Enter number</u>		
Country B				<u>Enter number</u>		
Country C				<u>Enter number</u>		
Total				<u>Automatically calculated</u>		
Checkbox Selection						
☐ Efficient appliances ☐ Industrial processes & manufacturing efficiency ☐ Building efficiency ☐ Public infrastructure and services						
(IRMF) CORE Indicator 2: Direct and indirect beneficiaries reached. (PMF) A1 Direct Beneficiaries of GCF funded project/programme (disaggregated by sex) (PMF) A2 Indirect Beneficiaries of GCF funded project/programme (disaggregated by sex)						
<i>Total number of direct and indirect beneficiaries (female/male) receiving adaptation benefits from GCF-supported interventions.</i>						

Report the total number of direct and indirect beneficiaries receiving adaptation benefits during this reporting year. Beneficiaries are individuals who directly benefit from climate adaptation interventions supported by your project. You must disaggregate this value by country and gender.

Direct Beneficiaries Reached

Baseline	Target		Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual Value (Reporting Year)	Cumulative Value (Automatically calculated)
	Mid-term	Final			
The starting value before the project began. (Will be prefilled from previous APR)	The target value expected at the midpoint of the project. (Will be prefilled from previous APR)	The target value expected at project completion. (Will be prefilled from previous APR)	Provide the cumulative value from the previous year	Provide a value achieved in the reporting year only	Total value achieved from project start to the end of this reporting year (previous + current year)
<u>0</u>	<u>79,100</u>	<u>200,798</u>	<u>80,072</u>	<u>10,308</u>	<u>90,380</u>
<u>Male: 0</u>	<u>39550</u>	<u>100399</u>	<u>40036</u>	<u>5154</u>	<u>45190</u>
<u>Female: 0</u>	<u>39550</u>	<u>100399</u>	<u>40036</u>	<u>5154</u>	<u>45190</u>

Indirect Beneficiaries Reached

Baseline	Target		Previous Year Cumulative Value	Annual Value (Reporting Year)	Cumulative Value
	Mid-term	Final			
The starting value before the project began. (Will be prefilled from previous APR)	The target value expected at the midpoint of the project. (Will be prefilled from previous APR)	The target value expected at project completion. (Will be prefilled from previous APR)	Provide the cumulative value from the previous year	Provide a value achieved in the reporting year only	Total value achieved from project starts to the end of this reporting year (previous + current year)
<u>0</u>	<u>136,212</u>	<u>330,544</u>	<u>231,380</u>	<u>36,360</u>	<u>267,740</u>
<u>Male: 0</u>	<u>68106</u>	<u>165272</u>	<u>115690</u>	<u>18180</u>	<u>133870</u>
<u>Female: 0</u>	<u>68106</u>	<u>165272</u>	<u>115690</u>	<u>18180</u>	<u>133870</u>

Disaggregation by: Gender

Cumulative Value (People)

Male

Female

Total

Disaggregation by: Country

Cumulative Value (People)

Viet Nam

Country B

Enter number

Country C	<u>Enter number</u>
Total	<u>Automatically calculated</u> <u>Enter number</u>

List of Optional Outcome & Co-benefit Indicators

Indicator Name	Unit	Baseline	Mid-term Target	Final Target	Annual Value	Cumulative
Total number of direct jobs (measured in full-time equivalents, female/male) created or maintained	No. of Full-time equivalent jobs					
Total number of indirect jobs (measured in full-time equivalents, female/male) created or maintained	No. of Full-time equivalent jobs					
Total number of institutions or decision-makers, including local actors, using climate information products or services in decision-making in climate-sensitive sectors	No. of actors					
Total number of established or strengthened institutional frameworks, policies, plans and coordination mechanisms to support climate-resilient and low-emission development	No. of items					
Total number of decision-making positions actively held by women in climate-related governance	No. of positions					
Total ecosystems placed under conservation activities	Hectares					

Project/Programme Specific Indicators

Indicator Name	Unit	Baseline	Mid-term Target	Final Target	Previous Year	Annual Value	Cumulative
A1.2. Number of males and females benefiting from the adoption of diversified, climate resilient livelihood	Number of individuals	<u>0</u>	<u>20,372</u>	<u>50,946</u>	<u>47,460</u>	<u>1,788</u>	<u>49,248</u>

options (including fisheries, agriculture, tourism, etc.)							
Male		<u>0</u>	<u>10186</u>	<u>25473</u>	<u>23730</u>	<u>894</u>	<u>24624</u>
Female		<u>0</u>	<u>10186</u>	<u>25473</u>	<u>23730</u>	<u>894</u>	<u>24624</u>
A2.3. Number of males and females with year round access to reliable and safe water supply despite climate shocks and stresses	Number of individuals	<u>0</u>	<u>79,100</u>	<u>200,798</u>	<u>4,512</u>	<u>5,196</u>	<u>9,708</u>
Male		<u>0</u>	<u>39550</u>	<u>100399</u>	<u>2256</u>	<u>2598</u>	<u>4854</u>
Female		<u>0</u>	<u>39550</u>	<u>100399</u>	<u>2256</u>	<u>2598</u>	<u>4854</u>
A6.2 Use of climate information products/services in decision-making in climate-sensitive sectors	Technical working groups meeting criteria	<u>0</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>25</u>
A7.1 Use by vulnerable households, communities, businesses and public-sector services of Fund supported tools, instruments, strategies and activities to respond to climate change and variability	Percentage of increase in main crop field	<u>0</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>8</u>
A8.1 Number of males and females made aware of climate threats and related appropriate responses	Number of individuals	<u>0</u>	<u>5,094</u>	<u>16,980</u>	<u>11,950</u>	<u>784</u>	<u>12,734</u>
Male		<u>0</u>	<u>2547</u>	<u>8490</u>	<u>5975</u>	<u>392</u>	<u>6367</u>
Female		<u>0</u>	<u>2547</u>	<u>8490</u>	<u>5975</u>	<u>392</u>	<u>6367</u>
1.1 Number of irrigated hectares of farmland served by modernized irrigation systems	Number of hectares	<u>0</u>	<u>3,295</u>	<u>13,180</u>	<u>4890</u>	<u>1831</u>	<u>6721</u>

1.2 Number of hectares of farmland climate-proofed through last mile connections	Number of hectares	<u>0</u>	<u>448</u>	<u>1120</u>	<u>122.49</u>	<u>630</u>	<u>752</u>
1.3 Number of rain-fed hectares exhibiting water harvesting and conservation measures	Number of hectares	<u>0</u>	<u>1886</u>	<u>5074</u>	<u>4515</u>	<u>1669</u>	<u>6184</u>
1.4 Application of water efficient techniques and practices by farmers	% smallholder farmers trained	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>35</u>
2.1 % smallholder farmers adjusting their planting times based on climate advisories	% smallholder farmers	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>10</u>
2.2 % smallholder farmers switching to climate resilient crop varieties and/or diversifying crop portfolio based on climate advisories	% smallholder farmers	<u>0</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>5</u>
2.3 % Women participation and decision-making in CIPs	%	<u>0</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>48</u>

Activity-level Indicators				
Indicator Name	Implementation Progress (%)	Utilised GCF Budget	Allocated GCF Budget	% (Automatically calculated)
Project Activity 1.1 Establish large-scale irrigation infrastructure to bring irrigation water to eight farming areas across the target regions	51	0	0	
Project Activity 1.2 Establish last-mile connections between WEIDAP irrigation infrastructure and the poor and near poor farmer lands to help cope with increasing rainfall variability and drought	31.3	\$2,372,482.48	\$6,278,224	38%
Project Activity 1.3 Enhance supplementary irrigation for rain fed smallholders to cope with rainfall variability and drought	83.4%	\$2,846,931.48	\$4,290,064	66%
Project Activity 1.4 Increase smallholder capacities to apply on-farm water efficient practices and technologies to maximize water	60	\$2,459,397.89	\$4,468,868	55%

productivity in coping with rainfall variability and drought				
Project Activity 2.1 Investments in inputs and capacities to scale up climate-resilient cropping systems and practices (soil, crop, land management) among smallholders through Farmer Field Schools	80	\$8,041,812.63	\$12,039,312	67%
Project Activity 2.2 Technical assistance for enhancing access to markets and credit for sustained climate-resilient agricultural investments by smallholders and value chain actors	65	\$226,112.25	\$547,224	41%
Project Activity 2.3 Co-development and use of localized agro-climate advisories by smallholders to enhance climate-resilient agricultural production	35	\$286,897.11	\$1,155,086	24%

C.2.1. Subprojects							
Where applicable, include information on sub-projects. For projects and programmes approved until B.31, the logical framework should focus on the mitigation and adaptation Performance Measurement Frameworks (PMF). For projects and programmes approved from B.32 onwards, the logical framework should reflect the Integrated Results Management Framework (IRMF).							
Title	Currency	GCF allocated funds / and spent	Co-financing	Country	GHG	Direct Beneficiaries	Indirect Beneficiaries
Provide a title for the subproject	Select the currency for financial amounts	Total GCF funds allocated and spent to/on this subproject	Total co-financing amount for this subproject	Select the country where this subproject is implemented	Greenhouse gas emissions reduced (tCO ₂ eq)	Number of people directly benefiting from the subproject	Number of people indirectly benefiting from the subproject
N/A (as per guidance)	Options	Enter number	Enter number		Enter number	Enter number	Enter number
N/A (as per guidance)	Options	Enter number	Enter number		Enter number	Enter number	Enter number
C.3. Compliance with Legal Agreements				Yes ☒ No ☐ (Add details of non-compliance)			
Please tick the box Y/N according to whether relevant legal agreements have been complied with. If you tick "No", please provide details of the relevant non-compliance, and separately raise the matter with GCF's Project Lead for guidance on the next steps. 150-word limit per instance of non-compliance.							

C.4. Funded Activity Implementation Arrangements in the Following Year	No changes envisaged ☒ Changes envisaged ☐
<i>Please tick the relevant box depending on whether the implementation arrangements as per FAA are envisaged to be changed. If you tick "Changes envisaged" then please indicate in the remarks section how the implementation arrangements will be / may be changed and the reasons. Please also note that changes to the implementation arrangements typically require GCF approval and should be separately raised with GCF's Project Lead for guidance on next steps. 150-word limit.</i>	

B. RISKS ASSESSMENT AND MANAGEMENT

D.1. Risk and mitigation measures

As stated in the Board-approved "Risk Appetite Statement," a cornerstone of the GCF's Risk Framework is proactive risk management. This includes risk identification, assessment, monitoring, reporting and appropriate mitigation to minimize and respond to risks, both directly and through partners implementing GCF's projects and programmes.

Risk Management Matrix

A risk is the probability of an event to adversely affect the achievement of the project Impact (its objectives, outcomes, and results). "Inherent risk" refers to the level of risk present before any of the mitigating measures are applied. "Residual risk" represents the level of risk after mitigating measures have been implemented.

Please update the project risk matrix by reviewing and revising information for all previously identified risks, and adding any new risks identified during implementation by selecting the adequate Risk Area in the drop-down menu. For each risk, ensure you provide a clear risk description, outline the potential negative consequences, specify the mitigating measures in place, and complete the severity scoring as required.

For the Integrity Risk Area, an annual reporting (and more frequent and prompt reporting if stated in the legal agreements with the GCF) is required for each of its 3 Risk Sub-areas, as GCF has zero tolerance for Prohibited Practices, for SEAH in Fund-related Activities, and for working with parties and engaging in activities subject to sanctions imposed by the United Nations Security Council. The AE reporting obligations under GCF's policies and in the legal agreement(s) with the GCF include but are not limited to requiring AEs to take action to identify, mitigate and promptly disclose to the GCF any real or perceived Conflict of Interest, any alleged or suspected Prohibited Practice, promptly notify the Fund of any material risks or other indications of money laundering and/or financing of terrorism in relation to the Project and promptly take remedial steps in consultation with the GCF.

The AE shall also provide updates on the risks and mitigating measures under the other Risk Areas when changes to already identified risks or new risks have been identified.

Risk scoring:

For likelihood, please rate on a scale from 1 to 5: 1. Unlikely, 2. Possible, 3. Likely, 4. Almost certain, 5. Certain

For impact, please rate on a scale from 1 to 5: 1. Close to none, 2. Low, 3. Medium, 4. High, 5. Catastrophic

The severity of each risk is automatically computed based on the likelihood and impact of the risk.

@dRisk name	Origin	Area	Sub-area (The drop-down list is only applicable for Integrity, Legal and E&S risks)	Residual Risk at entry	Current Residual Risk (previous update)	Current Residual Risk (latest update)
Revised construction costs may increase during the course of the project	External factors	Macro-economics	N/A	Medium High	Low	Medium

Description

Please briefly describe the risk and its root causes to the best of your knowledge. - **150-word limit**

There is a risk that construction costs for climate-resilient ponds and associated small-scale irrigation works could increase during implementation due to changes in site conditions, inflation in construction materials, minor design adjustments, or updated technical standards. Variations in topography and soil conditions in the project sites were initially identified as potential cost drivers. Market price volatility for key inputs such as labor, machinery, cement, steel, and fuel could also contribute to budget pressure.

Consequences

Please briefly describe the consequences of the risk to the best of your knowledge. **150-word limit**

If construction costs were to increase beyond allocated budgets, this could result in i) Reduction in the number of ponds constructed or upgraded; ii) Delays in implementation; and iii) Potential impact on achievement of beneficiary targets. Such outcomes could affect delivery of Activity 1.3 and indirectly reduce adaptation benefits to vulnerable smallholders.

Mitigation measures			
Please describe how the identified risk will be mitigated or managed. Do mitigation measures lower the likelihood and/or impact of risk occurring? If so, evaluate the residual risk using a score of 1 to 5. 150-word limit The project team has conducted assessments prior to finalizing designs. Cost optimization measures were implemented, including: i) Increasing the proportion of communal ponds where technically feasible; ii) Standardizing technical designs; iii) Competitive procurement procedures to secure cost efficiency; and iv) Close supervision of construction contracts;			
Comments			
If needed, please add additional comment and/or justification of the risk and its assessment. 150-word limit As of reporting period, no material budget overrun has occurred.			
	Inherent risk	Residual risk	Current residual risk
	Please rate the risk's likelihood and impact before any mitigation measures are applied.	Please rate the risk's likelihood and impact after the proposed mitigation measures are applied.	Please rate the current risk's likelihood and impact.
Likelihood	3 - Likely	2 - Possible	1 - Unlikely
Impact	3 - Medium	2 - Low	2 - Low
Severity	9	4	Likelihood x Impact, auto populated by the system

Risk name	Origin	Area	Sub-area (The drop-down list is only applicable for Integrity, Legal and E&S risks)	Residual Risk at entry	Current Residual Risk (previous update)	Current Residual Risk (latest update)
WEIDAP's co-financing does not fully materialize in the remaining provinces	Project related	Financial	<u>Choose an item.</u>	Medium High	Low	Medium High

Description
Please briefly describe the risk and its root causes to the best of your knowledge. - 150-word limit There is a risk that WEIDAP's co-financing in the remaining provinces may not fully materialize, for example due to changes in provincial development priorities, budget reallocations, delays in approval processes, or shifts in long-term investment plans by the Government of Viet Nam or ADB. Since SACCR Activity 1.2 (last-mile connections) depends on the availability of primary irrigation infrastructure financed under WEIDAP, any reduction or delay in WEIDAP implementation could affect the achievement of irrigated area targets. While WEIDAP is currently under implementation, its progress has been slower than originally planned due to delayed start-up in some provinces.
Consequences
Please briefly describe the consequences of the risk to the best of your knowledge. 150-word limit If WEIDAP co-financing does not fully materialize, the potential consequences include: i) Reduced area irrigated through last-mile irrigation systems; ii) Delays in achieving final irrigated area targets; and iii) Reduced adaptation benefits for smallholder beneficiaries.
Mitigation measures
Please describe how the identified risk will be mitigated or managed. Do mitigation measures lower the likelihood and/or impact of risk occurring? If so, evaluate the residual risk using a score of 1 to 5. 150-word limit The project team continues to closely monitor WEIDAP implementation in coordination with relevant Government agencies, WEIDAP's CPMU and PPMUs, and through ongoing discussions with ADB. As of 31 Dec 2025, WEIDAP

implementation has reached approximately 51% progress and remains active. SACCR has already completed 1,169 last-mile connection systems linked to WEIDAP infrastructure in Ninh Thuan.

A no-cost extension of SACCR to 31 December 2027 has been requested and approved by GCF, providing additional time to synchronize implementation and achieve irrigated area targets.

If WEIDAP co-financing does not fully materialize, SACCR will explore adaptive measures including: i) Linking to alternative irrigation works where feasible; ii) Increasing the number of climate-resilient ponds; iii) Expanding on-farm water-efficient irrigation technology to beneficiaries; and iv) Reallocating budget within component to maintain irrigated area targets.

Comments

If needed, please add additional comment and/or justification of the risk and its assessment. 150-word limit

Current consultations with Government agencies and ADB indicate continued commitment to WEIDAP implementation. The agreed no-cost extension significantly reduces the risk of target underachievement due to timing mismatch. However, because this risk depends largely on financing decisions from government, it remains monitored as a dependency risk. The current risk level is assessed as Low but requires continuous oversight through 2026–2027.

	Inherent risk	Residual risk	Current residual risk
	<i>Please rate the risk's likelihood and impact before any mitigation measures are applied.</i>	<i>Please rate the risk's likelihood and impact after the proposed mitigation measures are applied.</i>	<i>Please rate the current risk's likelihood and impact.</i>
Likelihood	3 - Likely	3 - Likely	2 - Possible
Impact	4 - High	3 - Medium	3 - Medium
Severity	12	9	<i>Likelihood x Impact, auto populated by the system</i>

Risk name	Origin	Area	Sub-area (The drop-down list is only applicable for Integrity, Legal and E&S risks)	Residual Risk at entry	Current Residual Risk (previous update)	Current Residual Risk (latest update)
Generation of construction waste and excavated materials	Project related	Environmental and Social (ESS)	Environmental & Social Safeguards	Medium High	Low	Medium

Description

Please briefly describe the risk and its root causes to the best of your knowledge. - 150-word limit

Construction and upgrading of climate-resilient ponds and small-scale irrigation systems under Component 1 (Activity 1.2 Last miles connections and Activity 1.3 Climate resilient ponds) generate excavated soil, construction debris, and limited solid waste. If not properly managed, disposal of excavated materials or construction waste could cause localized environmental impacts, including soil erosion, sedimentation, obstruction of drainage, dust generation, and visual disturbance. Improper storage or disposal could also create temporary inconvenience to nearby communities or agricultural land. This risk is inherent in small-scale civil works but is limited in scale and geographically dispersed.

Consequences

Please briefly describe the consequences of the risk to the best of your knowledge. 150-word limit

If waste and excavated materials are not properly managed, potential consequences include: i) Temporary environmental degradation (erosion, sedimentation); ii) Dust and noise disturbance to nearby households; iii) Minor safety hazards at construction sites; and iv) Community dissatisfaction or grievances.

Mitigation measures



The Project implements mitigation measures in accordance with the ESMF and ESMP, including: i) Reserve permitted spaces for excavated soil; ii) Reuse of excavated soil for embankment stabilization; iii) Controlled storage and proper covering of materials to prevent dust dispersion; iv) Ensure oversight and supervision by PMUs and technical consultants; and v) Community notification prior to construction activities.

If needed, please add additional comment and/or justification of the risk and its assessment. 150-word limit

	Inherent risk	Residual risk	Current residual risk
	<i>Please rate the risk's likelihood and impact before any mitigation measures are applied.</i>	<i>Please rate the risk's likelihood and impact after the proposed mitigation measures are applied.</i>	<i>Please rate the current risk's likelihood and impact.</i>
Likelihood	3 - Likely	2 - Possible	1 - Unlikely
Impact	3 - Medium	2 - Low	2 - Low
Severity	9	4	<i>Likelihood x Impact, auto populated by the system</i>

Risk name	Origin	Area	Sub-area <i>(The drop-down list is only applicable for Integrity, Legal and E&S risks)</i>	Residual Risk at entry	Current Residual Risk (previous update)	Current Residual Risk (latest update)
Community safety risks associated with ponds and irrigation infrastructure (e.g., drowning)	Project related	Environmental and Social (ESS)	Environmental & Social Safeguards	Medium High	Low	Medium High

Please briefly describe the risk and its root causes to the best of your knowledge. - **150-word limit**

As these ponds are typically located near agricultural land and, in some cases, close to residential areas, it will be important to put in place appropriate preventive and risk-mitigation measures to ensure community safety throughout both construction and operation phases.

Please briefly describe the consequences of the risk to the best of your knowledge. 150-word limit

Mitigation measures



The Project implements community safety measures in line with the ESMP and safeguard procedures, including: i) Installation of warning signage indicating deep water and safety hazards; ii) Construction of safety fencing around communal ponds; iii) Stabilization of pond embankments to prevent slipping; and) Engagement of local authorities and commune leaders in awareness raising activity on drowning prevention.

As of the latest reporting period, no drowning or safety incidents have been recorded.

D.2. Report risk incidents

If any risk (previously identified or not) has materialized, please capture it here as a risk incident.

Incident Name	Date of Occurrence	Date of Discovery	Incident Severity	Risk Type	Related Risk
November 2025 storm-induced landslide impact on climate-resilient ponds (Khanh Hoa)	8 November 2025	8 November 2025	Medium	Environmental/Climate-related hazard	Community safety risks associated with ponds and irrigation infrastructure (e.g., drowning)
Description <i>Provide a detailed description of what happened, including context, impact, and circumstances surrounding the incident. 300-word limit</i> In November 2025, Typhoon Kalmaegi (No. 13) caused significant damage in parts of Khanh Hoa province, particularly in Khanh Son and Khanh Vinh districts. Localized landslides and slope erosion affected climate-resilient aquaculture ponds constructed under the project. A total of 101 ponds were impacted, including 23 ponds that sustained severe damage. No injuries, community safety incidents, or significant downstream environmental impacts were recorded. Following the event, Provincial PMUs, in coordination with local authorities and the Construction Supervision Consultant, conducted rapid field assessments to determine the extent of damage and required remedial actions. Temporary stabilization measures were implemented immediately, including reinforcing vulnerable embankments and clearing drainage channels to prevent further erosion. Technical assessments and repair planning were initiated in line with contractual arrangements. Affected households were provided guidance on interim slope stabilization measures, such as planting grass cover and maintaining surface drainage to reduce future erosion risks. To ensure timely restoration, it is confirmed that government co-financing be used to repair and rehabilitate the damaged ponds. In parallel, the project's engineering consultants have worked with beneficiary households to provide technical support so the households can rehabilitate the damaged parts using local materials.					
Root cause analysis <i>Analyze the underlying causes that led to this incident. (max 300 words)</i> The incident was caused by an extreme weather event (Typhoon Kalmaegi – No.13) characterized by unusually intense and prolonged rainfall, resulting in severe flooding that exceeded seasonal averages. The exceptional severity of the flood event led to significant surface runoff and localized embankment erosion affecting a number of pond structures. While the ponds were designed in compliance with approved technical standards, including specifications intended to withstand extreme weather events, the intensity of the recent flash flood significantly exceeded these parameters. Rapid assessments suggest that this event was highly unusual and beyond historically observed ranges in the project area.					

Incident Name	Date of Occurrence	Date of Discovery	Incident Severity	Risk Type	Related Risk
<i>Provide descriptive name for the incident.</i>	<i>When did the incident actually happen?</i>	<i>When was the incident first identified or reported?</i>	<i>Select severity.</i>	<i>For new incidents, leave the related risk field blank.</i>	<i>If it is an existing risk, please select a related risk.</i>
N/A	<u>Click or tap to enter a date.</u>		Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.
Description <i>Provide a detailed description of what happened, including context, impact, and circumstances surrounding the incident. 300-word limit</i> N/A					
Root cause analysis <i>Analyze the underlying causes that led to this incident. (max 300 words)</i> N/A					

C. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

E.1. GCF financing

In addition to the financial report in the annex, update the table with relevant GCF financial data for each country and instrument, including amounts and allocation percentages.

Country	Instrument	Currency (as per FAA)	Committed Amount	Disbursed Amount	Expenditure (Reporting year)	Cumulative Expenditure	Mitigation	Adaptation
Enter country name	Select instrument type		Amount committed to the country and instrument selected to date	Amount transferred from GCF to the Accredited Entity (Inflows)	Amount spent on the Funded Activity in the reporting (Outflows)	Total amount spent on the Funded Activity since project implementation (Outflows)	Mitigation allocation of the amount spent in the reporting year	Adaptation allocation of the amount spent in the reporting year
Vietnam	Choose an item. Grants	Optionsmillion USD (\$)	30.205.367	25.547.472	7.644.336	17.226.288	Enter %	100 %
	Choose an item. Grants	Optionsmillion USD (\$)	Enter amount	Enter amount	Enter amount	Enter amount	Enter %	Enter %
Total	NA	Options	Enter amount	Enter amount	Enter amount	Enter amount	NA	NA

E.2. Co-financing

Please populate the table with relevant Co-financing data for each Co-financier and instrument, including amounts and allocation percentages.

Co-Financier	Instrument	Currency (As per FAA)	Committed Amount	Disbursed Amount	Expenditure (Reporting year)	Cumulative Expenditure	Mitigation	Adaptation
Enter co-financier name	Select instrument type	Select currency	Amount committed to the country and instrument selected to date	Amount transferred from GCF to the Accredited Entity (Inflows)	Amount spent on the Funded Activity in the reporting (Outflows)	Total amount spent on the Funded Activity since project implementation (Outflows)	Mitigation allocation of the amount spent in the reporting year	Adaptation allocation of the amount spent in the reporting year
Asian Development Bank (ADB)	Loans	Optionsmillion USD (\$)	84.839.273	0	9.396.793	33.499.626	Enter %	100 %
Government of Vietnam (WEIDAP project)	Grants	Million USD (\$)	18.804.571	0	2.301.323	12.410.019		100%

Government of Vietnam (Provincial and Central Government)	Choose an item. Grants	Optionsmillion USD (\$)	<u>3.437.475</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>212132</u>	<u>1063577</u>	<u>Enter %</u>	<u>100 %</u>
Government of Vietnam (Provincial and Central Government)	In-Kind	USD (\$)	<u>1.000.000</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>236414</u>	<u>1005991</u>		<u>100%</u>
Total	NA	Optionsmillion USD (\$)	<u>108.081.319</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>12.146.662</u>	<u>47.979.213</u>	NA	<u>100%</u>

E.3. Additional Finance Mobilised Beyond Approved Co-financing

Report the realised (cumulative value) and/or expected volume (Final Target) of private/public finance leveraged as a result of GCF involvement in the investment, in addition to and beyond any co-financing originally committed at approval. This datapoint shall be reported separately from official co-financing figures and is intended to capture the catalytic effect of GCF investments and support institutional learning.

GCF policy: <https://www.greenclimate.fund/sites/default/files/document/policy-cofinancing.pdf>

Institution Type (Public/Private)	Instrument	Currency (USD fixed)	Cumulative Value	Final Target (Estimation)
Public (Government of Vietnam (Provincial and Central Government))	Grant	USD	268,970	N/A
Public (Government of Vietnam (Provincial and Central Government))	Grant	USD	87,304	N/A

F. ENVIRONMENTAL & SOCIAL SAFEGUARDS, GENDER, and INDIGENOUS PEOPLES

This section provides an update against the ESS standards agreed as part of the Environmental and Social Action Plan (ESAP) and Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF), as well as Environmental and Social Management System (ESMS), Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA), Environmental and Social Management Plan (ESMP), Indigenous Peoples Plan (IPP), Indigenous Peoples Plan Framework (IPPF), Resettlement Action Plan (RAP), Resettlement Policy Framework (RPF) when applicable.

F.1. progress on key challenges and updates regarding Environmental and Social Safeguards

Key Environmental and Social Changes

Have there been any changes in the key environmental and social risks and impacts? If you tick 'Yes,' please provide further information.

Yes ☐ (Description) No ☒

Environmental and Social Safeguarding Updates

Have there been any change/update on environmental and social safeguarding? you tick 'Yes,' please provide further information.

Yes ☐ (Description) No ☒

Provide any change/update with precise reference to FAA covenants on environmental and social safeguarding, compared to what was specified in the baseline assessment reflected in the ESS standards of the Board-Approved Funding Proposal. For programmes/FIs with subprojects, please fill out information in the "Sub-projects" section below (max. 300 words).

It is confirmed that during the reporting period, ESS provisions in FAA were met.

F.2. Compliance with laws and regulations applicable to ESS. Gender and Indigenous Peoples.

Have there been any changes or updates regarding compliance with applicable laws and regulations of the country, as well as the relevant conditions or covenants under the FAA?

Yes ☐ (Description) No ☒

If you tick 'Yes,' please provide further information to specify the applicable law or regulation that is not being met, along with the reasons for non-compliance (max. 100 words).

F.3. ESS standards implementation progress

Does this reporting period include any ESS standards-related activities or updates?

Yes ☒ (Description) No ☐

If you tick 'Yes,' please provide further information to report on the progress made in complying with the requirements of the relevant ESS standards that were triggered during the approval of the funding proposal and during the reporting period, if any; and the GCF Indigenous Peoples Policy, if relevant, describing achievement and specifying details outlined in the tables below.

Activities implemented during the reporting period, including monitoring

Describe the activities and monitoring efforts implemented during this reporting period. (max. 300 words)

- UNDP and project partners continuously ensured implementation of safeguard measures during 2025 through active site supervision and field-based monitoring. All construction work was subject to routine supervision by the Construction Supervision Consultant (CSC) and safeguard officers of the five PMUs. Monitoring records and supervision reports were maintained.
- Consultations were completed for all 686 newly constructed ponds and 919 LMC during 2025.
- Community health and safety measures were strengthened during construction. No accidents involving community members were recorded during the reporting period.
- For all 686 ponds constructed and LMC systems implemented during 2025, written confirmations from beneficiaries and commune authorities were obtained confirming voluntary land contribution and absence of disputes.
- In addition to frequent site visits conducted by provincial PMUs, 06 monitoring missions were conducted by CPMU, UNDP, and project management consultants from central level. Field verification included spot-checking of ESMP applications, beneficiary selection processes, and gender participation records.
- Regular coordination meetings were organized at provincial and central levels to review safeguard compliance, disbursement performance, and progress toward annual targets. Monitoring results informed adjustments to implementation sequencing and safety reinforcement measures.
- Community health and safety measures: Pond fencing, warning signage, and safety communication through commune authorities were systematically implemented.
- Grievance Redress Mechanism (GRM): The GRM remained operational across all project sites. In 2025, 68 grievances were received and resolved (207 cumulatively). No unresolved or escalated cases were recorded.
- Gender and SEAH integration: These experts are working with PMUs to ensure compliance with PSEAH policies. No SEAH-related grievances were recorded through the project's GRM.
- Monitoring and reporting: Monthly and quarterly safeguard monitoring reports were prepared by provincial PMUs and consolidated at Central PMU level. On-site supervision visits were conducted regularly to verify ESMP compliance.

Outputs during the reporting period

Detail the outputs achieved during this reporting period. (max. 200 words)

- During the reporting period, safeguard-related output was achieved in line with approved ESS requirements. All beneficiary households and affected communities associated with construction activities were consulted prior to implementation. Written confirmations of voluntary land contribution and consent were obtained for 686 climate-resilient ponds and 919 LMC systems constructed in 2025. These records are maintained and verified during supervision missions.
- Site inspections by the CSC and safeguard officers ensured compliance with ESMP requirements, including occupational health and safety, dust and noise control, waste management, and community safety measures such as fencing and warning signage.
- The GRM remained operational throughout the year, with all reported cases resolved at local level. No significant environmental, social, or gender-related incidents were recorded during the reporting period.
- Sessions on the handling/storage of agro-chemicals are incorporated in FFS trainings

Key environmental, social and gender issues, risks and impacts addressed during implementation

Describe the key issues, risks and impacts that were identified and addressed. (max. 300 words)

During the reporting period, an extreme storm and flood event in November 2025 (Typhoon Kalmaegi) caused localized landslides affecting 101 climate-resilient ponds in Khanh Hoa province. The key environmental risk identified was embankment instability and increased erosion due to intense rainfall and surface runoff. No injuries, land disputes, or significant downstream environmental impacts were recorded.

Corrective actions included activating the insurance assessment process to support repair works and implementing immediate stabilization measures. Beneficiary households were guided to plant grass cover on pond embankments and clear surface drainage ditches to enhance long-term erosion control. Pond conservation areas were reinforced and protected to prevent encroachment.

Any pending key environmental, social and gender issues needing accredited entity's actions and GCF attention

Identify any outstanding issues that require attention. (max. 200 words)

At present, no significant unresolved environmental or social safeguard issues require immediate corrective action. Repair and stabilization measures following the November 2025 storm event are ongoing and monitored at provincial level.

However, continued attention is required regarding community health and safety risks associated with pond systems, particularly drowning risks for children in rural areas. The project will maintain regular inspection of fencing, warning signage, and embankment stability, and continue coordination with local authorities to strengthen community awareness

on pond safety. Ongoing monitoring will ensure that preventive measures remain effective throughout the remaining implementation period. The project has commenced work to strengthen the Water User Groups and Pond Management Groups capacities, including through provision of guidance on Operation & Maintenance (O&M) as a key exit strategy and to ensure safeguards are retained following project completion.	
Remarks/Notes <i>Add any additional remarks or notes relevant to ESS standards implementation. (max. 100 words)</i> Safeguard implementation in 2025 remained fully integrated into routine project management processes. Environmental and social monitoring was conducted regularly through site inspections, reporting systems, and supervision missions. Adaptive measures were applied where needed.	
F.4. Sub-projects information	
Have any subprojects been implemented?	Yes ☐ (Description) No ☒
Title of the sub-project	N/A
ESS management plan/instrument in place <i>If you tick 'Yes,' please provide further information to describe the ESS management plan or instrument established for this sub-project.</i>	
Stakeholder engagement plan in place <i>Describe the stakeholder engagement plan and how it is being implemented. (max. 300 words)</i>	
Activities/engagement conducted with Indigenous peoples <i>Detail any engagement activities or consultations conducted with Indigenous peoples. (max. 200 words)</i>	
Key environmental, social and gender issues, risks and impacts addressed during this reporting period <i>Describe the key ESG issues identified and how they were addressed. (max. 300 words)</i> .	
Any pending key environmental, social and gender issues needing accredited entity's actions and GCF attention	

<i>List any outstanding ESG issues that require attention or follow-up. (max. 300 words)</i>	
F.5. Implementation of the stakeholder engagement plan	
Were any actions undertaken to increase engagement of relevant stakeholders in the project, particularly regarding environmental, social, and gender elements?	Yes ☒ No ☐ (Description)
<i>If you tick 'No' please give details of why this was not necessary</i>	
Title of the sub-project	N/A
Activities implemented during the reporting period	
<i>Describe stakeholder engagement activities conducted during this period. (max. 300 words)</i> - Review and consultation workshop (21–22 Aug 2025, Dak Lak): Convened with representatives from the Ministry of Agriculture and Environment, Ministry of Finance, National Designated Authority, Accredited Entity, Implementing Partners, Provincial PMUs, local authorities, and mass organizations (including the Women's Union). The workshop reviewed progress, implementation challenges, and consulted on adjustments such as PMU restructuring, reformulation of ACIS and Climate Innovation Platforms, and a proposed no-cost extension. - Community and local authority consultations: Conducted prior to construction of climate-resilient ponds (686 during the reporting period) and LMCs (919 during the reporting period) to gather feedback on design, site selection, and provision of agricultural inputs aligned with community needs. Community consent and local authority inputs were ensured through different consultations with total of 3,526 participants on LMC installation. - Engagement with mass organizations: Continued collaboration with local chapters of the Women's Union, Youth Union, local NGOs (e.g. Community Development Center in Dak Lak province) and other organizations to support outreach, implementation, and beneficiary participation at the grassroots level. - The Climate Innovation Platforms (CIP) are operational with participation of key value chain actors (off-taker, processors, credit institutions) in coffee and pepper value chains. - Coordination after administrative restructuring: Provincial PMUs organized working sessions with authorities of the 40 newly merged communes to ensure continuity of implementation, coordination, and local ownership.	
Dates and venues of engagement activities	
<i>Provide dates and locations where stakeholder consultations took place. (max. 100 words)</i> - 21–22 August 2025 – Tuy Hoa Ward, Dak Lak Province: Project review and consultation workshop with national and local stakeholders, including government agencies, PMUs, mass organizations, and community representatives. - July–September 2025 – 40 newly merged communes (03 newly merged project provinces): Coordination meetings held at Commune People's Committee offices to ensure continued implementation and collaboration following administrative restructuring. - Throughout the reporting period – All project sites: Ongoing community consultation sessions conducted at village and commune levels to gather inputs on pond construction, LMC design, and livelihood support activities.	
Sex-disaggregated data on stakeholder engagement participants (male + female =) Sex-disaggregated data on stakeholder engagement participants (male + female =)	
<i>Provide breakdown of participants by gender (e.g., 45 male, 55 female = 100 total). Describe the key issues, risks, and impacts that were identified and addressed.</i> <i>Please provide the list of CSOs, NGOs, or other platforms, initiatives, and opportunities the entity reached or consulted in line with requirement according to the relevant framework (projects which IPPF-- satisfying of Consultation and Stakeholder Engagement Plan). Please include the list of other relevant stakeholders involved i.e., stakeholders</i> - Project review and consultation workshop (21–22 Aug 2025): 90 participants (41 men, 49 women – 54.5%). Key issues discussed included implementation progress,	

administrative restructuring impacts, and financial planning. Stakeholders agreed to propose a project extension until December 2027. PMUs/CPMU committed to prepare financial plans for the first six months of 2026 and draft operational and financial plans for the extended phase.

- **Consultations prior to LMC installation and pond construction:**
Women accounted for approximately 71.2% of participants. Key issues raised included suitability of designs, safety considerations, and alignment of support with household needs. Inputs were incorporated into site selection, design adjustments, and implementation planning.
- **Consultations prior to pond construction:**
Women represented 34–54% of participants across consultation sessions. Discussions focused on technical design, environmental safety, and expected livelihood benefits. Community feedback was integrated into construction planning and risk mitigation measures.
- **Coordination meetings with newly merged communes:**
Women represented over 35% of participants. Key issues included ensuring continuity of implementation, coordination with local authorities, and maintaining inclusive participation following administrative restructuring.
- **List of stakeholders:** local chapters of Farmers Association, Women Unions, communal authorities in 40 newly merged communes.

Information shared with stakeholders

Describe what information was shared with stakeholders during consultations. (max. 200 words)

During the reporting period, stakeholders were provided with regular updates on project implementation progress, planned activities, and adjustments following administrative restructuring. At the project review and consultation workshop (August 2025), information was shared on overall progress, implementation challenges, financial status, and proposed next steps, including restructuring of PMUs, reformulation of ACIS and CIPs, and the proposed no-cost extension to ensure completion of planned outputs.

At community level, consultations provided detailed information on the design, technical specifications, and implementation schedules for climate-resilient ponds and LMCs. Beneficiary households and local authorities were informed about site selection criteria, construction arrangements, safety measures, operation and maintenance responsibilities and grievance redress procedures. Information on the provision of climate-resilient agricultural inputs and training support was also shared to ensure alignment with local farming needs.

Following administrative restructuring, coordination meetings with newly merged communes shared updates on revised implementation arrangements with reformulation of provincial PMUs, re-establishment of ACIS and CIP, and mechanisms for continued collaboration.

Outputs including issues raised and addressed during the reporting period

List key issues raised by stakeholders and how they were addressed. (max. 300 words)

- Stakeholders agreed to propose a project extension to December 2027 and prepare corresponding operational and financial plans.
- Implementation arrangements were adjusted following administrative restructuring, including coordination with 40 newly merged communes and restructuring of PMUs/ACIS groups.
- Community feedback was incorporated into site selection, design, and implementation of climate-resilient ponds, LMCs, and agricultural support activities.
- Safety and livelihood concerns raised by communities were addressed through installation of pond safety measures and alignment of agricultural inputs and training with local needs.

Remarks/Notes

Add any additional remarks or notes relevant to ESS standards implementation. (max. 100 words)

No additional remarks or issues related to ESS standards implementation were identified during the reporting period.

F.6. ESS forward planning and lessons learned

Are there any planned ESS activities for the next reporting period or lessons learned to share from this period?

Yes ☒ (Description) No ☐

Provide planned activities on environmental and social safeguards for the next reporting period

If you tick 'Yes,' please provide a list of activities in the ESMP to be implemented in the next reporting period. Include relevant deliverables such as reports or action plans, and other project specific products. Please include the monitoring schedule concerning ESS (including other potential vulnerable groups and Indigenous people) for the next annual reporting period. **(max. 300 words)**

Planned ESMP activities and monitoring schedule for 2026

Safeguards measures – Last-mile connections (LMCs) and ponds:

- Continued community consultations during detailed design refinement and operation phases in 2026, ensuring documented consent and alignment with local needs.
- Targeted technical assessments where necessary to evaluate alternative or supplementary water sources and associated environmental and social risks.
- Strengthened safety measures at pond sites, including inspection and maintenance of protective fencing, installation of warning signboards, and community awareness campaigns on drowning prevention, particularly for children and vulnerable groups.

Grievance Redress Mechanism (GRM):

- Continued operation of the GRM throughout 2026, with weekly review of grievance logs and conduct GRM accessibility/functionality tests and satisfaction survey.

Capacity building and coordination:

- Refresher training on environmental and social safeguards (including gender, ethnic minority engagement, and community safety) for PMUs in Q1 2026.
- Quarterly coordination meetings between SACCR and WEIDAP teams to align safeguards implementation and share lessons learned.

Monitoring schedule (2026):

- Weekly: GRM monitoring and follow-up of cases.
- Monthly: Field supervision visits by PPMU officers with site visit reports.
- Quarterly: Monitoring missions by CPMU environmental and social specialists and UNDP CO SES's focal point.
- Annually: At least one joint monitoring mission covering ESS compliance, including engagement with ethnic minorities and other vulnerable groups.

Provide any success stories, good practices, or challenges, including those related to the engagement of Indigenous peoples and local communities, promoting Indigenous knowledge and benefits to Indigenous peoples and local communities

(max. 200 words)

- *Use of local languages and interpreters:* Engagement with ethnic minority (EM) communities has been consistently supported through the use of local interpreters during consultations and training sessions, where needed. This established practice helps address language barriers, enhances understanding of project activities, and facilitates meaningful participation of EM households, reflecting good practice in inclusive stakeholder engagement.
- *Ethnic minority leadership in Farmer Field Schools:* The selection of EM female farmers as leaders and champion farmers in Farmer Field Schools has enhanced peer-to-peer learning and trust within communities. Their leadership has encouraged wider participation of EM households and improved adoption of climate-resilient practices.
- *Integration of Indigenous knowledge:* Dedicated sessions were incorporated into Farmer Field School activities to document traditional knowledge on crop cultivation and local adaptation practices. For example, in Dak Nong province, during FFS sessions, farmers had shared and promoted their knowledge of coffee tree rejuvenation. This approach supports the preservation and integration of indigenous knowledge into climate-resilient agriculture training while ensuring that project interventions remain culturally appropriate and beneficial to EM communities.

F.7. Grievance Redress Mechanism - confirmation & grievances

Please indicate whether the project can confirm the following statement:

Fully functional and operational Grievance Redress Mechanisms are in place, have been communicated to and are accessible by all stakeholders applicable to this project

☒ The accredited entity confirms the statement above at project-level, AE-level, and GCF-level grievance mechanisms.

☐ The accredited entity does not confirm the statement above

<i>Provide brief description (max. 100 words)</i> The project has established a comprehensive Grievance Redress Mechanism (GRM) applicable to all activities and aligned with UNDP Social and Environmental Standards. Grievances from communities, workers, or other affected persons can be submitted verbally, in writing, or electronically and are registered within 48 hours by PPMU Safeguards Officers. Complaints are assessed, addressed, and resolved within one to two weeks depending on severity, with confidentiality ensured, including for GBV/SEAH cases. The GRM is widely publicized through community meetings, posters, and local authorities. Contractors also manage site-level grievances for their activities under supervision.	
Have there been any grievances received during the reporting period?	Yes ☒ (Description) No ☐
<i>If you select "Yes", please attach Annex 3: List of grievances received in the annex section of this APR.</i> <i>Provide brief description (max. 200 words)</i> From project inception to 15 December 2025, the GRM recorded a total of 207 cases. During the 2025 reporting period, 68 feedback and complaint cases were received and fully resolved in line with relevant procedures. The main issues raised included: - Eligibility criteria: Inquiries from households regarding screening and selection for pond support and last-mile connections. - Construction-related impacts: Feedback on localized impacts such as dust, noise, and requests for site restoration following installation of connection pipelines. All cases were verified through field checks by PMUs in coordination with supervision consultants and local authorities and were satisfactorily addressed. No complaints related to sexual exploitation, abuse, and harassment (SEAH) or other prohibited conduct were recorded. Detailed information is provided in Annex 3.	
F.8. Implementation of activities and actions agreed in the Gender Action Plan	
<i>This section includes an update on the activities and actions agreed in the Gender Action Plan (GAP).</i> <i>Please review your GAP and include all GAP activities in the table below with an update of progress made during the reporting period as well as cumulatively. Each activity should be aligned with its own indicator, target, budget, and updates. If no progress was made on an activity during the reporting period, please include an explanation in the remarks section of the activity.</i> <i>Summary on Activities and Actions agreed in the Gender Action Plan (max. 200 words)</i> Implementation of the Gender Action Plan (GAP) in 2025 remained on track and continued to deliver inclusive benefits for women, including ethnic minority women, across project areas. Most project trainings and consultation events recorded women's participation at or above 50%, exceeding GAP targets. Gender targets were systematically monitored across all activities, with corrective actions recommended where participation was below expectations. Women's participation in selected activities with previously low representation showed improvement during the reporting period. Gender considerations were mainstreamed in all preparatory processes, with requirements for women's and ethnic minority participation integrated into TORs, contracts, and implementation plans. The project continued to monitor sex-disaggregated data for co-financing activities and ensured alignment with GAP commitments. Support for women's economic empowerment progressed through collaboration with local chapters of Women's Unions, including continued follow-up on credit fund initiatives and livelihood support. Capacity-building activities were conducted for PMU staff and partners to strengthen gender-responsive implementation. Documentation of Women Champions and ethnic minority role models was also carried out to promote leadership and peer learning. Overall, the GAP implementation demonstrated steady progress in strengthening women's participation, leadership, and access to project benefits, while continuing to address gaps through targeted actions and adaptive management.	
Are there GAP implementation activities or progress to report for this period?	Yes ☒ (Description) No ☐
<i>Provide a progress report on the gender action plan developed during project preparation stage for the reporting period.</i> • This will primarily be a report on activities undertaken and results achieved because of completion of an activity. • Further, it should also indicate if the project is on track to achieving the intended outcome(s). • The reporting should be done for activities, targets and indicators already set in the action plan including on vulnerable groups (youth, poor, female heads of households, etc.) as would have been identified in the gender analysis and action plan.	

- If activities or targets are not achieved as per plan, reasons should be provided, and recourse action should be proposed.
- Please include reporting on any changes or deviations.
- Include a Report on implementation challenges and lessons learnt and how these will inform on-going actions and what action will be taken by when to address the challenges faced.
- Incorporate both quantitative data and qualitative reports on the performance of such actions, and on progress on actions identified.

- Describe overall progress since project start. (max. 200 words)
- Cumulatively, women's participation across Output 1 interventions has consistently met or exceeded GAP targets as follows: Installation of 6,501 water-efficient irrigation systems reached 35.1% female beneficiaries, exceeding the 30% GAP target.
- Training on operation and maintenance of irrigation technologies reached 33.5–42% women participants across provinces.
- Nearly 30% of climate-resilient pond beneficiaries are female-led households, with several provinces exceeding 30% and others progressing toward target.
- Training on water resource management reached 55.7% women among 18,203 trained farmers, significantly exceeding GAP requirements.

GAP Activity 1 - Women's participation in water security activities (Output 1)

Describe the activity/action implemented. (max. 200 words)

Under Output 1, the project promotes women's meaningful participation in water security interventions through both infrastructure and capacity-building activities. These include the development of small-scale irrigation systems (last-mile connection systems and climate-resilient ponds), training on water management, installation and promotion of on-farm irrigation equipment, and capacity building on soil and biomass management.

The project integrates gender-responsive approaches by encouraging women's involvement in decision-making processes, technical training, and community-based implementation mechanisms such as pond management groups. Attention is given to enhancing women's access to knowledge, productive resources, and leadership roles within pond management groups.

Indicator

Percentage of women participating in and benefiting from Output 1 activities, including small-scale irrigation infrastructure (last-mile connection systems and climate-resilient ponds), water management training, capacity building on soil and biomass management, and promotion of on-farm irrigation equipment.

Rating of indicator

- ☒ GREEN (On track to be met)
☐ Amber (Needs attention to be met)
☐ RED (Off track and unlikely to be met)

Baseline

0

Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable

Minimum 35–50% women participation across Output 1 activities. Detailed targets for each sub-activities please refer to project's Gender Action Plan as attached.

Annual progress update (Reporting Period)

Describe progress made during this reporting period. (max. 200 words)

In 2025, women continued to actively participate in the design and implementation of last-mile connection systems and climate-resilient ponds through community consultations. Women's roles were increasingly visible in technical training and capacity-building activities, particularly in water management and soil and biomass management.

Women demonstrated strong engagement in knowledge sharing and assumed leadership roles within Farmer Field Schools and pond management groups. Training of Trainers (TOT) activities also recorded high female participation, strengthening women's technical capacity and enabling them to act as local resource persons.

Overall, the project maintained a gender-responsive implementation approach, ensuring that women had equitable access to training, resources, and decision-making platforms across Output 1 activities.

Cumulative progress update (Entire period)

Describe overall progress since project start. (max. 200 words)

For infrastructure-related activities, women accounted for 41.4% of participants in last-mile connection systems, including 15.6% ethnic minority women.

A total of 218 shared pond groups were established, with 30.6% female members and 33.0% women serving as group leaders.

In capacity-building activities, women represented 54.0% of TOT participants overall and 64.7% in soil and biomass management training.

Budget

0.145

Currency

million USD (\$)

Timeline (*Planned completion of activity*)

12/31/2027

Responsibility

Stakeholder(s) responsible for delivering this activity. (max. 100 words)

CPMU, provincial PMUs, Department of Agriculture and Environment (DAE), local chapters of Women's Union, local authorities, UNDP

Remarks/Notes

Briefly explain why the indicator is highlighted GREEN, AMBER, or RED and what the mitigation action is. Describe the progress made during the reporting period. (max. 100 words)

Rated GREEN as women's participation across Output 1 consistently meets or exceeds GAP targets. Gender-responsive implementation and strong collaboration with Women's Union and local partners continue to sustain inclusive participation and equitable access to project benefits.

GAP Activity 2 - Women's participation in climate-resilient agriculture, climate services, market and credit access (Output 2)

Describe the activity/action implemented. (max. 200 words)

Under Output 2, the project promoted women's participation in climate-resilient agriculture, climate information services, market linkages and access to finance. Gender-responsive approaches were integrated into Farmer Field Schools, climate-resilient agriculture training, dissemination of agro-climate advisories and livelihood support activities. Partnerships with Women's Unions and local stakeholders supported outreach to female farmers and entrepreneurs, strengthening their capacity to adopt climate-resilient practices and access economic opportunities.

Indicator

Percentage of women participating in and benefiting from climate-resilient agriculture, climate services, market access and financial support activities.

Rating of indicator

- ☒ GREEN (On track to be met)
☐ Amber (Needs attention to be met)
☐ RED (Off track and unlikely to be met)

Baseline

0

Target, including sex-disaggregation where applicable

Minimum 35–50% women participation across Output 2 activities. Detailed targets for each sub-activities please refer to project's Gender Action Plan as attached.

Annual progress update (Reporting Period)

Describe progress made during this reporting period. (max. 200 words)

In 2025, women continued to participate actively in Farmer Field Schools, climate-resilient agriculture training, climate information dissemination and market linkage activities. Gender-responsive facilitation and coordination with local partners ensured that women maintained strong representation in training and capacity-building events across provinces.

Cumulative progress update (Entire period)	
<i>Describe overall progress since project start. (max. 200 words)</i> Cumulatively, women's participation in Output 2 interventions has consistently met or exceeded GAP targets: Farmer Field Schools reached 36.0% women among 22,595 participants, meeting GAP requirements. Climate-resilient agriculture and soil/biomass management training reached 64.7% women among 53,917 participants, far exceeding targets. Dissemination of climate information services reached approximately 50.7% women among over 131,000 beneficiaries, meeting the 50% participation target. Agricultural input and livelihood support reached 40.7% women beneficiaries, exceeding GAP thresholds. Training on access to credit and financial services reached 57–69% women participants in several provinces.	
Budget	<u>0.250</u>
Currency	million USD (\$)
Timeline (<i>Planned completion of activity</i>)	12/31/2027
Responsibility	
<i>Stakeholder(s) responsible for delivering this activity. (max. 100 words)</i> CPMU, provincial PMUs, Department of Agriculture and Environment (DAE), local chapters of Women's Union, local authorities, UNDP	
Remarks/Notes	
<i>Briefly explain why the indicator is highlighted GREEN, AMBER, or RED and what the mitigation action is. Describe the progress made during the reporting period. (max. 100 words)</i> Rated GREEN as women's participation across Output 2 consistently meets or exceeds GAP targets. Gender-responsive implementation and strong collaboration with Women's Union and local partners continue to sustain inclusive participation and equitable access to project benefits.	
F.9. Gender Action Plan implementation review	
Is the gender expert for the project/programme engaged to support the implementation of the gender action plan? If engaged previously, please advise if the gender expert has been retained by the project/programme, including plans to engage another expert if a previously engaged expert has left the project/programme.	Yes ☒ (Description) No ☐
<i>If you tick 'Yes,' please provide details on the gender expert's engagement and retention status. (max. 100 words)</i> A gender expert has been engaged as the project's gender expert since April 2022 and has provided continuous support throughout implementation. She plays a key role in overseeing and monitoring the Gender Action Plan, ensuring systematic integration of gender considerations across project activities. She has also delivered capacity-building support to CPMU and PMU staff on gender mainstreaming and inclusive implementation. Her ongoing engagement has contributed to strengthening gender-responsive planning, implementation, and reporting, and has helped ensure that gender equality objectives are consistently reflected in project interventions and monitoring processes.	
Has the implementation of gender action plan/s being implemented according to Funding Activity Agreements and GCF's Updated Gender Policy?	Yes ☒ (Description) No ☐

Please ensure that the budget is included in the reporting above if it was indicated in the approved or updated gender action plan.	
<i>If you tick 'Yes,' please provide further information to explain how the GAP is implemented according to agreements and policy. (max. 300 words)</i> The Gender Action Plan (GAP) was developed at project design stage, updated with GCF approval on 26 April 2024, and is being implemented in line with the Funding Activity Agreement and GCF Updated Gender Policy. A total budget of approximately USD 395,000 has been allocated for GAP implementation and is integrated into annual workplans and relevant project components. Gender-responsive measures are embedded across all outputs, including water security, climate-resilient agriculture, climate services and livelihood support. Sex-disaggregated data are regularly collected and used to monitor progress against GAP targets. A dedicated gender expert supports CPMU and PMUs to ensure gender mainstreaming, capacity building and consistent reporting. Overall, GAP implementation remains on track, with women's participation targets largely achieved across key activities and gender considerations systematically integrated into project delivery and monitoring.	
Are the planned activities, targets, indicators, timelines, etc. on track during the reporting period?	Yes ☒ (Description) No ☐
<i>Explain the progress on planned activities, targets, and timelines. (max. 300 words)</i> Planned activities, targets, indicators and timelines under the Gender Action Plan (GAP) are broadly on track during the reporting period. Women's participation targets set out in the GAP have been largely achieved across key outputs, as reflected in quarterly and cumulative reporting. Under Output 1 (water security and irrigation), women's participation has met or exceeded GAP thresholds in most interventions. Installation of 6,501 water-efficient irrigation systems reached 35.1% female beneficiaries, exceeding the 30% target. Training on operation and maintenance of irrigation technologies recorded 33.5–42% women participation across provinces. Water resource management training reached 55.7% women among 18,203 trained farmers, significantly above the 50% target. While participation of female-headed households in climate-resilient pond support varies by province, overall progress remains aligned with GAP commitments. Under Output 2 (climate-resilient agriculture, market access, credit and climate services), women's engagement is consistently strong. Farmer Field Schools reached 36.0% women among 22,595 participants, meeting the 35% target. Soil and biomass management training reached 64.7% women among 53,917 participants, well above required thresholds. Dissemination of climate information services reached approximately 50.7% women among over 131,000 beneficiaries, meeting the 50% target. Sex-disaggregated data are systematically collected and reported through quarterly monitoring, enabling tracking of progress against indicators and timelines. Overall, GAP implementation during the reporting period remains on track, with gender targets achieved across the majority of planned activities.	
Were there any revisions of the approved GAP during the reporting period? Please submit the updated GAP in Annex section of this APR and ensure that the updated GAP has details on each change.	Yes ☐ (Description) No ☒
<i>If you tick 'Yes,' please provide further information. To describe the revisions made to the GAP and reasons for changes. (max. 200 words)</i>	
Are the reported results on track to contribute to meeting the sex-disaggregated targets for the direct beneficiaries in the funding proposal, if applicable?	Yes ☒ (Description) No ☐

<i>Provide details on progress towards sex-disaggregated targets for beneficiaries. (max. 300 words)</i> Reported results indicate that the project is on track to meet the sex-disaggregated targets for direct beneficiaries as defined in the funding proposal. Sex-disaggregated data are systematically collected and reported through quarterly and annual monitoring, enabling continuous tracking of progress toward gender-related beneficiary targets.	
Has the project/programme experienced any implementation challenges that affect gender-related activities? If yes, please include lessons learnt and how they are informing ongoing actions.	Yes ☒ (Description) No ☐
<i>If you tick 'Yes,' please provide further information. To describe challenges faced and lessons learned in implementing gender activities. (max. 200 words)</i> Some implementation challenges have affected gender-related activities, mainly related to timing and language accessibility. Women's participation can be constrained by household responsibilities; therefore, training schedules and project events are planned in consultation with women to ensure their availability and sustained engagement. Language barriers have also been observed among some ethnic minority women (e.g., Raglai and Ede) who are not fluent in Vietnamese. The project addresses this by arranging local interpreters and using culturally appropriate facilitation methods.	
Were there any best practices identified, or case studies prepared on benefits/activities undertaken as agents of action by women and the female heads of households during the reporting period? Please share any case studies in the text boxes or in the Annex section of the APR.	Yes ☒ (Description) No ☐
<i>If you tick 'Yes,' please provide further information to share best practices or case studies on women's participation and benefits. (max. 200 words)</i> Good practices have emerged in promoting women as active agents of change. Women have taken leadership roles in Farmer Field Schools (FFS) as facilitators and core farmers, strengthening peer learning and increasing adoption of climate-resilient practices among female participants. The project has also supported networks of women champions who share knowledge on climate-resilient agriculture and water management within their communities. In addition, local women entrepreneurs have been engaged in market linkage activities to provide practical guidance on product development and marketing. These approaches have enhanced women's leadership, confidence and economic participation in project areas.	
Provide planned activities on gender elements for the next reporting period	
<i>Detail the gender-related activities planned for the next reporting period. (max. 200 words)</i> Gender-related activities will continue to focus on full implementation and monitoring of the Gender Action Plan (GAP). All project activities will maintain systematic collection and reporting of sex-disaggregated data to track progress against gender targets. The project will ensure continued alignment of all activities with GAP commitments, including integration of gender requirements into TORs, contracts and implementation guidelines. Regular monitoring visits and quarterly reviews will be conducted to track women's and ethnic minority participation in consultations, training, livelihood and water security activities, and to address any emerging gaps in a timely manner. Coordination with relevant partners, including WEIDAP and local stakeholders, will be strengthened to ensure consistency in sex-disaggregated monitoring and implementation. Engagement with local Women's Union chapters will be maintained to support outreach, training and community-level participation. Efforts will continue to promote women's leadership and representation (minimum 35%) in farmer groups, water and pond management groups, climate service platforms and community decision-making structures. The project will also continue documenting good practices and stories of women champions and will explore opportunities to expand access to financial and market support for women where feasible. Regular quarterly reporting will ensure ongoing tracking of GAP implementation and adaptive management.	

D. ANNEXES (UPLOADED)
Annex 1: GHG emission reduction calculation methodology (for projects reporting GHG emission reductions) and details on the calculations of the annual and cumulative value. <i>Please provide the mandatory ex-post emission reduction spreadsheet presenting the calculation steps for achieved annual and cumulative value in this reporting period.</i> Not applicable
Annex 2: Communication and visibility material <i>Please provide relevant communication material such as media coverage, photos, infographics, and awareness raising posters used during project implementation.</i> Attached.
Annex 3: List of grievances received <i>Provide a list of the grievances received (as an appendix to the APR) in the reporting period that will include the description of the grievance, the date the grievance was received, the resolution of the grievance, etc. Please include any SEAH-related grievances. Identities of complainants, or factors that could identify a complainant should not be included in this document.</i> Attached.
Annex 4: Procurement information <i>Please download the procurement information template available in Excel below.</i> <u>Download template.</u> <i>Please provide information on goods and services procured under GCF-funded projects/programmes. The information is being collected to increase transparency of activities undertaken with GCF resources. This exercise does not imply a request for information or change to the procurement processes already agreed with the GCF and is purely for information purposes. Please provide information on cumulative procurement activities undertaken in each project/programme funded by the GCF.</i> Not required.
Annex 5: Updated implementation timetable for the Funded Activity for the following year <i>Please submit the updated implementation timetable for the Funded Activity for the following year. For example, if you are reporting on results achieved in 2025, you are requested to submit the implementation timetable for 2026 with the expected activities and results.</i> Attached.